

From: [Euler, Gordon](#)
To: [McCall, Marilee](#)
Subject: FW: SMO permitting questions for you!!
Date: Tuesday, November 04, 2014 9:14:39 AM
Importance: High

Marilee:

For the SMO PC binder. Thanks.

Gordy

From: GOETZ, VENICE (DNR) [mailto:VENICE.GOETZ@dnr.wa.gov]
Sent: Monday, November 03, 2014 6:49 PM
To: Euler, Gordon
Cc: GOETZ, VENICE (DNR)
Subject: SMO permitting questions for you!!
Importance: High

Hi Gordie – I am rewriting a DNR letter to the commissioners and have to have you reiterate the permitting thing. Please tell me if these are right or wrong.

- If a parcel is within the SMO, it is “permitted outright”. If certain mining activities go with that SMO designation then those activities are “permitted outright” and the operator or landowner does not need a CUP to mine.
- If a parcel is not within the SMO it needs a CUP in order to be mined.

Did I get this right? Need to know ASAP the difference between the two forms of permitting. I know you tried explaining it to me recently (but that was before I went to Albuquerque last weekend to dance at a tango festival. Now I can’t remember! All I hear in my head is tango music!)

I need this info so I can get the DRAFT letter to the powers-that-be this week – preferably by Wednesday. I will try calling you tomorrow – Tuesday.

THANK YOU!

Venice

Venice Goetz

State Lands Minerals Geologist, LEG #468
Product Sales and Leasing Division
Washington Department of Natural Resources
360-902-1056
venice.goetz@dnr.wa.gov